APPERT No. 2199 of 2001 京都の政治の教育な 計画 アンストンカインテーショ A. M. P. Now 117354 and 17355 of 2001 region of the control /Veraus/ Bearing Landing Collector, Respondents, Appeal filed under Clause 15 of the Letters District Collector, Fatent against the order in W. PiNo: 3045 of 2001 da For appellant | Mr.N.G.R.Prasad 13.9.2001. TOP MP. TOPL BUPKAPA GUBBU For respondents : Miss T. Kokilavani -Government Advocate A PRINCIPLE OF THE PROPERTY OF Writ Petition No. 21111% of 2000 was filed by the Principal of S.R.M. Dental College, praying for directions to the respondents/ Governmental and local authorities to remove the existing sencroachments between the whole stretch of Bharathi Salai and Thiruvalluvar Salaitin Ramavarem, Chennal. . 2. Write Pefition No. 3045 of 2001 is was as filed by Kudiyinuppor Nala Sangam, freenessilles

occupants in the disputed area (Sappellant herein) praying for directions to the respondents to issue patts to the members of Sangam, claiming to be in possession of the area for more than

10 years.

3. During the hearing of both the writ petitions before ting learned single Judge, an offer was made by the Government alternate site to all the members of the allot Petitioner/Sangam in Survey No. 239/2, Eri Poramboke, Ramavaram

-46-

Village, subject to the members vacating their occupation of the disputed area. After several adjournments at the instance of the appellant/Sangam, finally, an affidavit dated 10.9.20' Of had been filed accepting the alternate site provided by the Government subject to the availability of the land in Survey No.239/2. The learned single Judge had also recorded a finding that the admitted position was that the encroachments in Tiruvallur Salai had already been evicted.

Consequently, the learned single Judge dientsend W.P.No.21111 of 2000 filed by the College on the ground that as a result of the removal of the engroachments, any direction for removal did not arise. 'As regards W.P.No.3045 of 2001 filed by the Sangam/apppellant, the learned Judge held that in Alem of the offer of the Government to allot alternate site in it was not necessary to go into the merits and that it was sufficient to direct the Government to provide witernate site to the members by demarcating the plots on or before 19.7.2001 and that the members of the Sangam shall occupy the plots on . or before 26.9.2001. / It was further directed that in the event of the failure to remove the encroachments and variating the lands, the District Collector was at liberty to evict and memove all the encho achments and the members of the petitioner Sangam will have no right to seek for any alternate The Bangam / has y now come forward with the present appeal. at the property of the control of th

1241342 Mr. N. G. R. Prasad appearing for the sappellant contends that the alternate site offered by the Government was not at all suitable for certain reasons and that in fact there adequate space, to accommodate the members of the Sangam. The place was actually ear-marked for the formation of the Metro Rail Project. "According to him respondents have not pleaded the correct facts before the learned single Judge as regards the actual topography of the land in Survey has regarded the affidavit filed on behalf of the appellant in Edamned Government Pleader contends that the appeal itself is not maintainable as the warmen is directed against a comment order. The appellant has not stated correct facts regarding the topography of the land and that there was sufficient space to accommodate all members of the Sangam as undertaken by the Government. action of the appellant lacks bond fides. In fact most of the, members have agreed to take over the alternate allotment and had moved over to the allottedisite and that trouble being created enly by one or two persons who had very strong personal and very strong personal and very strong pleading the cause of the poon. The disputed portion is a public road which had to be cleared as a result of the growing traffic and the emcroachers cannot claim any fundamental right to occupy a road resulting in blocking the traffic.

6. Mr.K.S.Natarajan appearing for the College has also reltereated the submissions of learned Government

B 0060174

We have considered the rival contentions and also the fidevices filed by both sides. In view of the Description of this appeal, we decline to enter into marriage of the marriage of the contentions raised by both parties. It is Point out that the order of the learned single with an in the learned single with a learned single with a learned single. Pugge had rated the that he was not inclined to go into the mendita est the case in view of the offer and acceptance of the alternate site. The fact that the disputed area is Evenue records as "Vandipatthai and Neer! nijal paramboke" (cart track and Eri Poromboke) is admitted by the appellant yide their affidayit in W.A.M.P.No.17355 of The nergore, the appellants cannot claim any vested right to memain in possession of the area when the same is required the Government for regulating the increased traffic. Mightly the Sangam had agreed to accept the alternate site offered by the Government and had accepted an order from the Tearned single Judge. In the said background we are unable to appreciate the Sangam filing an appeal. If they have any genuine grievance about the feasibility of the offer made by the Government on any ground, the proper course would be to have approached the clearned single Judge himself. Whatever grounds which are now pleaded before us namely, that there was ing adequate space available for allotment, that the Government had not placed all the relevant facts about the actual topography of the land or that the Government had played fraud on the court etc. | are all matters which should have been brought to the notice of the learned single Judge himself either by way of Review or for clarification. If there is any violation of or non-compliance of the order of the learned single Judge, there are other remedies open to the appellants. On the face of the allegations projected before us, it will not be in consonance with the Judicial decorum to entertain the above appeal.

A further contention which was raised by the B× appellant is to the effect that the College itself had. that the Government was adopting double standards in standards implementing the alleged action for removal OF the Encroachments. In affildavit 一十四種 . 1n Bupport DF WA.M.P.No.17354 of 2001, the appellants have contemped that the College authorities had encroached the Government land for Mone than 10 acres and had even requested the assignment the land and that the said request was rejected by the Buthorities. The College authorities have also put up several buildings unauthorisedly without planning approval. In context, to our specific question to the learned counsel representing the College as to whether the said allegations are true, he was unable to furnish any definite reply in the absence of instructions,

9. This issue had not been gone into by the learned single Judge presumably because either the said issue had not been raised before him or that he had specifically decided not to 90 into the merits of the mutual contentions considering that the writ petitions were being disposed of only on the basis of the offer and acceptance of the alternate site.

0060175

Therefore, the learned single necessity to deal with said issue. 10. It is needless to point out that the cannot adopt double standards in enforcing law and order and clearing of illegal encroachments. Public land by the poor is bad, illegal occupation of such land by rich people and Institutions would be worst. The very reason or justification to take steps to clear the If the encroachment of encroachments, loses its credibility if the Government turns a blind eye to the illegal occupation of public lands by rich and well-todo persons. Courts are bound to respond positively and with even hands while dealing with such allegations. will be deeful to bear in mind that it is the College of thomation who had appropriated within Court first with the complaint of illegal encroachment against the members of the Bangam. If they are themselves guilty of encroschment, they should also face the consequences, Therefore, the second; respondent is directed to take positive direction if the Gollege is found to be in illegal occupation. of any public land. The second respondent is directed to take steps in accordance with lawsand after due notice and hearing College authorities wand a the actual occupants in y possession of the enchasched portions and to evict them from such illegal occupation. These directions shall be complied within a period of three months of populate of receipt of 11. In the result, the above Writ Appeal is dismissed with the above observations, Morgosts. Indext 大品和人口()。 05.02.2002 1. Show dballer 2. 2. Assistant Rogistran ~ The Commissioner, and Assignment, hennal-5, The District Collector, himuvallum District. Thiruvallum. 1 cc to M/s. Anand Dasgupta & Sagar on payment of harges (-5R.No.99910) ... 1 cc to Mr.8.Packiaraj, on Payment of charges. SR。[00.99889] 1 cc to Mm.T.Kokilvaro, Govt. Advocato, on payment of W.A. No. 219 of 2001 Dated 5/2/2002